UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,605	08/09/2007	David William Leeming	347576	1535
7590 10/19/2010 Security Officer			EXAMINER	
P O Box 77301 Atlanta, GA 30357			ABDOSH, SAMIR	
Auanta, GA 50.) /		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/584,605	LEEMING, DAVID WILLIAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAMIR ABDOSH	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	ctober 2010					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 <i>October</i> 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Discrete of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Tupor Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/584,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is a third non-final rejection on the merits in response to a pre-appeal conference made on October 13th, 2010. Prosecution is reopened and claims 30-37 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In both claims 35 and 36, the circumference of the net mesh is determined relative to the dimension of the "maximum circumference of the rocket propelled grenade". However, the RPG of the claim is of no particular type, size, or shape, making the value of the "maximum dimension of circumference" a variable term. The maximum circumference is unknown and the circumference of the net is unknown, since the mesh is directly related to the size of the maximum circumference. As such, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not be capable of constructing a mesh capable of performing the claimed method based on the present language of the claim.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,605 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

4. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Since RPG's come in many shapes and sizes, it is unclear how one would determine the size of the net mesh with respect to a maximum circumference of an unclaimed RPG. One making the net would be unclear as to the meets and bounds of the claim when sizing the opening such that the claim would not be infringed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 30-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glasson (USP 2006/0169832).

Regarding claims 30, 31 and 38, Glasson teaches a method for protecting an object against a rocket propelled grenade, comprising:

a) providing a net formed from interconnecting net strands of plastic fibers and defining a net mesh (a rocket towed barrier system tows a Kevlar mesh barrier designed to intercept threats along its flight path, including RPGs, see paragraphs 11 and 12) configured such that a rocket propelled grenade will be disabled by deformation of a nose cone of the rocket propelled grenade when the nose cone enters one of the sections of net mesh. The structure inherently functions in a manner that involves

deforming/strangulating the nose cone of an RPG, in that it is designed to disable the frontal nose cone of an RPG once it has engaged with the mesh as discussed in paragraph 12; and

b) disposing the net between the object and the rocket propelled grenade (see Figure 3). Also, there is nothing critical in the claim to deform the nosecone of an RPG. That is, according to claim 30, any mesh net made of strands of plastic fibers would perform the function.

Regarding claims 32 and 34, Glasson teaches disposing the net at least 500mm from the object (as is clearly shown in Figure 3).

Regarding claim 33 and 37, Glasson teaches supporting the net by a frame structure (via tethers) and rigid support member (via carrier drogue towed by the rocket), and attaching the frame structure to a vehicle (the towing rocket).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMIR ABDOSH whose telephone number is (571) 270-5799. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (571) 272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,605 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J. Carone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3641

/SIA/